REMARKS

This communication responds to the Office Action mailed September 30, 2005 for the application captioned above. In the Office Action, the Examiner classified the claims into two invention groups:

I. Claims 1-4, drawn to an injection molding machine (classified in class 425, subclass 574); and

II. Claims 5-10, drawn to a method of injection molding (classified in class 264, subclass 328.1).

Applicant provisionally elects Group I (claims 1-4), with traverse. Applicant traverses the Restriction Requirement on the grounds that no serious burden on the Examiner exists. If the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, then it should be examined on the merits even though it includes claims directed to distinct or independent inventions. Applicant respectfully submits that the subject matter of Groups I and II are sufficiently related that a thorough search for the subject matter of one Group would encompass a search for the subject matter of the other Group. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests examination on the merits of all the claims, not just those of Group I, to avoid duplicative examination by the PTO and unnecessary delay and expense to Applicant.

The Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned if the Examiner believes it would be useful to advance prosecution.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional filing fees required to Deposit Account No. 061910.

Respectfully Submitted,

Dated: _October 28, 2005_   _Thomas R. Hipkins_

Thomas R. Hipkins
Reg. No. 57,659
Customer No. 022859
FREDRIKSON & BYRON, P.A.
200 South Sixth Street, Suite 4000
Minneapolis, MN 55402
Telephone: (612) 492-7000

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this document is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on

_10|28|05_

Date of Deposit   Joyce Thomas
#3188271\1

3